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ABSTRACT

We present a deep learning approach to sports video understanding as part of the development of an automated refereeing system for broadcast soccer games. The task of identifying which players are involved in a foul at a given moment is one of spatiotemporal action recognition in a cluttered visual environment. We describe how to employ multi-object tracking to generate a base set of candidate image sequences which are post-processed to mitigate common mistracking scenarios and then classified according to several two-person interaction types. For this work we created a large soccer foul dataset with a significant video component for training relevant networks. Our system can differentiate foul participants from bystanders with high accuracy and localize them over a wide range of game situations. We also report reasonable accuracy for distinguishing the player who committed the foul, or subject, from the object of the infraction, despite very low-resolution images.

Index Terms— video activity recognition, multi-object tracking

1. INTRODUCTION

Computer vision is becoming ubiquitous for sports video analysis, with applications that include broadcast enhancement; real-time, in-depth player and team performance measurement; and automatic summarization of key events. Across analysis tasks there are several common visual skills such as ball tracking [1, 2]; player segmentation [3, 4, 5], recognition [6], and pose estimation [7]; and recognition of formations, plays, and situations [8, 9, 10, 11].

Video-based assistance with officiating, in particular, is proliferating. The metric accuracy of high-speed, multi-camera ball tracking systems (e.g., [12]) is relied upon in many sports including tennis and volleyball for line calls, baseball for balls and strikes, and soccer for so-called “goal line technology.” In soccer, the Video Assistant Referee (VAR) [13] is commonly used for close and controversial decisions surrounding goals, major fouls, and player expulsions. However, despite the appearance of high technology, it is really nothing more than an off-field human who flags situations that deserve further review by the head referee via video replays in slow motion from multiple angles.

As deep learning enables more sophisticated understanding of sports video imagery, one can imagine a future automated refereeing system, running live or on stored video, that blows a virtual whistle when it detects infractions. Using the sport of soccer as an example, such a system would classify what kind of violation occurred—e.g., handball, offside position, tripping or pushing, dangerous high kick, or another misdeed outlined in the FIFA rule book [15]—and who was involved in the foul. Foul events occur at a location in time and space, and they involve at least one player participant. The player who committed the foul is the foul subject and the action performed is the foul type. Some fouls can be committed by a single player in isolation (such as touching the game ball with one’s hand), but here we focus on events that involve an opposing player, whom we refer to as the foul object.

This paper describes work toward a video-based automatic refereeing system. Here we assume that an oracle tells us that a two-player foul has occurred at a certain mo-
ment, leaving these two questions: Who was involved in the foul, and who specifically committed it? For a full, live system, temporal event detection and foul severity classification would of course be crucial, and we will discuss in a moment how the work here overlaps with (and is therefore usable for) that task. But we argue that the foul oracle assumption is reasonable because several non-video shortcuts can simulate it—from audio detection of whistle sounds that signal fouls [16]; or, for recorded games, from mining text or audio commentary for key words (as we describe for our dataset generation in Sec. 3).

Static image analysis has a certain utility for this problem based on player poses and formations, but we assert that player movement patterns can be exploited to identify and differentiate foul participants. Here we describe an approach to recognizing telltale motions associated with soccer fouls such as slide tackles, pushing and gesturing, and falling to the ground via a three-stage pipeline. First, players are detected and tracked by a state-of-the-art multiple object tracking (MOT) method which we trained to perform well on broadcast soccer videos. Second, raw tracks are cleaned and augmented to account for common tracking errors that could result in crucial players not being covered by a complete track. Finally, processed tracks are fed to two video activity recognition networks to classify whether each person is (a) doing “normal” soccer things vs. exhibiting signs of being involved in a foul, and (b) if they do seem to be involved in a foul, to attempt to discriminate between the person committing the foul and the object of the foul. The results demonstrate that our method can achieve promising performance.

2. RELATED WORK

Person detection is one of the main topics in the area of the object detection. It typically applies similar network architectures as standard object detection models like Faster R-CNN[17] and Mask R-CNN[18] with some specific modifications for improving localization[19, 20].

Thanks to the advantages of deep neural networks, great improvements have been made in action recognition, action detection, human-object interaction (HOI), and multi-object tracking [14]. Action recognition could either apply 2D convolutions on per-frame input followed by another 1D module for aggregating the features[21, 22] or apply stacked 3D convolutions to model temporal and spatial features [23, 24]. [25] uses two different pathways to operate on different frame rates for capturing both spatial semantics and temporal motions. Recently there has been more focus on interactions [26, 27, 28] with the goal of identifying \{human, verb, object\} triplets in static images and videos.

[29] demonstrates high-quality spatial-temporal activity detection in a surveillance video scenarios, and more and more state-of-the-art methods have been utilized in the area of sports. [30] introduces a multi-tower temporal 1D convolutional network to detect events in ice hockey game and soccer game videos. [31] constructed their model based on deep reinforcement learning that shows only part of people’s activities has impacts on the entire group and tests their model on volleyball videos. [32] use self-attention models to learn and extract relevant information from a group of soccer players for activity detection from both trajectory and video data. [11] try to “spot” three soccer event categories: goal, card, and substitution.

3. DATASETS

Our foul dataset is built upon SoccerNet [11], which comprises 500 complete soccer games from six European professional leagues, covering three seasons from 2014 to 2017, encoded mostly at 25 fps with a total duration of 764 hours. The footage is from broadcasts, so it includes camera pans and zooms, cuts between cameras, graphics overlays, and replays. Both high-definition and lower-resolution (224p) versions are available; here we use the low-resolution version for all learning, evaluation, and paper figures.

442 SoccerNet games have text transcripts of audio commentary on game events which are timestamped by half and game clock with one-second precision. A sample foul is shown in Fig. 2 (and in more detail in Fig. 3) which corresponds to the following comment: 1 - 15:33: This yellow card was deserved. The tackle by Aranguiz (Bayer Leverkusen) was quite harsh and Christian Dingert didn’t hesitate to show him a yellow card. We roughly located fouls by searching all transcripts for relevant words and phrases such as: “foul”, “violate”, “trip”, “bad challenge”, “rough challenge”, “hand-
task, we stabilize the video around each candidate player by recognition. To adapt this network for our spatiotemporal “being fouled,” we adopt the SlowFast network [25] for video

For identifying the player actions “committing a foul” and “offside.” Video frames in the temporal neighborhood of each candidate’s timestamp were then manually examined to determine a precise foul moment. Clues from the commentary about which player committed the foul were used to resolve any visual ambiguities about the placement of the foul subject and object bounding boxes (green and yellow, respectively, in Fig. 2).

In all, 6492 foul events were labeled, of which 4862 were two-player fouls, as well as 1507 offside offenses and 123 handball offenses. Almost all of these events occurred in “far” camera views such as shown in Fig. 2, but some were in close-ups or “near” views.

MOT subset 85-100 frame bounding box sequences (tracks) for all people (n = 309) present in 17 randomly-selected person detection frames (16 far, 1 near) were annotated over 4-second temporal windows ([-1, +3] s) surrounding the foul moment. Tracks were manually trimmed at any shot boundaries (e.g., near/far transitions).

Action recognition subset Complete 50-frame tracks for the foul subject and object were annotated over 2-second temporal windows ([-1, +1] s) surrounding 833 randomly-selected two-person foul moments (all far views with no shot boundaries). Furthermore, 50-frame tracks for people (n = 5006) not involved in the foul, whom we call bystanders (e.g. other players, coaches, and referees) were obtained from CTracker [14] tracks that spanned the entire clip and did not overlap the ground truth subject or object bounding boxes.

4. METHODS

For identifying the player actions “committing a foul” and “being fouled,” we adopt the SlowFast network [25] for video recognition. To adapt this network for our spatiotemporal task, we stabilize the video around each candidate player by assembling image sequences from tracker bounding boxes derived from an MOT tracker’s output. Here we use Chained-Tracker (CTracker) [14], which combines object detection, feature extraction, and data association in a single end-to-end model that chains paired bounding box regression results estimated from overlapping nodes, of which each node covers two adjacent frames. CTracker achieves fast tracking speed (30+ Hz) and a Multiple Object Tracking Accuracy (MOTA) on MOT17 online of 66.6, which is highly competitive with other state-of-the-art algorithms.

As an example, the foul subject and object in Fig. 2 (indicated by the green and yellow bounding boxes, respectively, at t = 0) are followed in tracks 5 and 3, respectively, produced by CTracker. Synopses of the sequences resulting from this tight tracking box, cropped and scaled to SlowFast’s 224 x 224 input, are shown in the top two rows of Fig. 3.

Raw tracker output can be noisy, exhibiting sudden shifts and scale changes that present challenges for video recognition, especially when the source ROIs are on the order of ∼15 x 30 pixels. Moreover, the entire player might not be shown, losing valuable information about leg and hand motion, and certainly any depiction of interactions with nearby players is lost. Therefore, we expand the spatial context around each tracked bounding box on the hypothesis that it will aid the video recognition task. We define context ROIs as squares with sidelength proportional to the median max dimension of all tracker bounding boxes over an entire clip (1.5 x scaling for medium). Samples are shown in Fig. 3.

Track post-processing Tracks may be incomplete. In order to supply the video recognition network with sequences that span the full temporal context T and to mitigate mistracking and track merging and splitting (see Fig. 4 for an example), we transform CTracker’s output to create a modified set of candidate tracks. First, tracks with small “gaps” of up to 5 or 6 frames are patched with linear interpolation between adjacent bounding boxes. In a second pass, tracks which end near another viable track are joined to them in order to extend them. Also in this pass, branches may be created between continuing tracks and new tracks that start nearby, increasing
the overall number of tracks. In clips with high player densities, this may result in enlarged sets of candidate tracks with subsections in common.

**Inference** Two SlowFast networks are used. SF PvP classifies each candidate track video as either a foul participant (without regard to subject or object) or bystander, and SF SvO classifies each candidate track video as a foul subject or a foul object. Because of the oracle assumption, we know that there is exactly one subject and one object per clip, transforming detection into a maximum likelihood problem. However, as seen in Fig. 4, there is not necessarily a one-to-one correspondence between tracks and people – we must always allow for the possibility that two players are being tracked by one box.

Participant detections are the bounding boxes at the foul moment from those tracks with the highest likelihood according to SF PvP. There may be a tie due to floating point precision and the network output saturating; these are broken first by voting in the case that multiple maximum likelihood tracks share the same foul moment bounding box, and second randomly. Subject and object detections are maximum likelihood classifications according to SF SvO, but they are only considered if already recognized as participants.

### 5. EXPERIMENTS

**5.1. Training Details**

**CTracker** A CTracker network with a ResNet-101 backbone pre-trained on the MOT dataset [33, 14] was fine-tuned on 10 4-second clips (9 far, 1 near) from our dataset in which all player tracks were manually annotated, with standard data augmentation.

**SlowFast** We used the ResNet-50 8 x 8 variant of the network, pre-trained on the Kinetics dataset, for both of our video action classifiers. 666 48-frame, 2-second clips (with ground truth for 666 subjects and objects and 7996 bystanders) were randomly selected from our foul action recognition subset and SF PvP and SF SvO were fine-tuned for 10 and 40 epochs, respectively.

**5.2. Results**

CTracker’s basic tracking performance on our data was assessed on 6 test video clips (all far views), resulting in a MOTA of 88.6.

The classification performance of SF PvP and SF SvO were measured on a test set of 167 clips (with ground truth ROI sequences for 167 subjects and objects and 1008 bystanders). Precision-recall curves for each network trained on tight tracker ROIs vs. the looser context ROIs discussed in Sec. 4 are plotted in Fig. 5. For both training regimens, SF PvP is nearly perfect, with an average precision (AP) of 0.997 for tight ROIs and 0.999 for context ROIs after 10 epochs. The subject vs. object task seems harder, as blame is hard to assign to two tussling players, and while foul objects often wind up sprawled on the ground, so do the foul subjects whether intentionally or not. This assessment is borne out by SF SvO’s lower performance after 40 epochs of training, with an AP = 0.749 for tight ROIs and 0.861 for context ROIs.

The context variant of SF PvP successfully detected 64.24% of foul participants @ 0.5 IoU threshold at the foul moment over a test set of 167 clips (vs. 52.51% for the tight variant with the same tracks). Fig. 1 shows three examples of such detections. The second row demonstrates the detector’s ability to pick out one anomalous motion in a crowd (in this case the foul object sinking to the ground). Subjects and objects were detected at the same IoU threshold with 30.15% and 45.21% accuracy, respectively (16.39% and 30.06% for tight). The detection accuracy is considerably higher at lower IoU thresholds (e.g. 84.34% @ 0.1 IoU), indicating that this approach locates the rough foul area quite robustly.

### 6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We report strong performance on a sports-motivated spatiotemporal video activity recognition task. There are a number of immediate directions to take before removing the foul oracle assumption and working on the scale of entire games, including extending the system to near-view clips with more training examples, dealing with shot boundaries automatically, and incorporating foul-relevant information outside of subject/object bounding boxes. On this last point, filtering subject/object hypotheses by making sure candidate pairs are on opposite teams could boost performance, but require a per-game learning of jersey colors and patterns using, for example, deep image clustering [34]. Leveraging the high-resolution versions of the game videos would enable further analysis such as ball tracking and reading player names/jersey numbers to correlate with roster data and/or commentary. Finally, continuous camera pose estimation and parsing of field line features [35] would help with video stabilization, filtering of off-field person detections, and integration of player positions and game situations to better understand foul dynamics.
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